AN INVENTORY MODEL FOR IMPERFECT PRODUCTION SYSTEM WITH REVERSE AND SHORTAGES

Dr. Neha Mittal*

Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics, Govt. College Julana, Jind, Haryana, India
Email ID: *nnehajain1986@gmail.com*

Accepted: 06.04.2022 **Published**: 01.05.2022

Keywords: Economic Production Quantity, EPQ, Defective Items, Cycle Time.

Abstract

The key development structures and objectives of most gathering firms are to look for a high fulfillment to client's requesting and to change into an immaterial expense maker. To accomplish these objectives, the affiliation should have the decision to actually use assets and cutoff costs. This paper considers a creation stock model with coordinated concede purchases for anything. The thing is made in a particular stage conveying structure. The gathering structure makes defective quality things. This immense number of imperfect things are changed in a relative cycle. This paper makes two stock models for two helpful approaches. The significant procedure covers the case that the fix up is finished and the insufficiencies are not allowed. The subsequent methodology covers the case that the fix up is finished and the needs are allowed.

The time of hurt things during most supportive creation processes is basically inevitable. These imperfect quality things can every so often be changed and fixed, hence the general creation expenses can be diminished for the most part. To accomplish this goal, a numerical model is made. Specifically, the ideal creation bundle size which confines the absolute not altogether settled. This model is conveyed for initiating the basic and adequate circumstances for having a magnificent arrangement. An illustrative model is given and upheld. The underwriting of result in this model was coded in Microsoft Visual Basic 6.0.

Paper Identification

**Corresponding Author*

INTRODUCTION

The key development structures and objectives of most gathering firms are to look for a high fulfillment to client's requesting and to change into an immaterial expense maker. To accomplish these objectives, the affiliation should have the decision to actually use assets and cutoff costs.

The cash related creation aggregate (EPQ) model is reliably involved by specialists in the fields of creation and stock association to help them in settling on choice on creation part with estimating.

Laudable EPQ model expects that everything made are of incredible quality and a steady stock giving method for fulfilling thing interest. Notwithstanding, in a genuine creation climate, due to controllable as well as wild factors, time of deficient things is certain and the blemished rate can't be overlooked in the creation cycle.

Hurt things will be conveyed in every illustration of creation in most consistent circumstances. Obviously, there are numerous occasions wherein the made defective quality things ought to be improved or fixed with extra expenses. Along these lines, the hurt things can't be dismissed in the creation cycle. Events of such circumstances include: printed circuit load up get-together (PCBA) in PCBA fabricating, plastic item in the plastic implantation outlining process and the creation cycle in different undertakings in, for example, designed, material, metal parts that eventually use change as a decent cycle concerning the degree of critical worth.

The EOQ model was the very numerical model presented numerous years sooner by Harris (1913) to help relationship in limiting firm stock expenses. It shifts stock holding and direction of activity costs and interprets the ideal requesting aggregate. Notwithstanding its straightforwardness, the EOQ model is as of now applied industry-wide today. In the gathering district, when things are made inside as opposed to being gotten from an external provider, the EPQ model presented by Warets (1994) is frequently used to close the ideal creation bundle size that endpoints all around creation/stock expenses. It is by and large called the limited creation model in light of its thought that the creation rate should be essentially more noteworthy than the credit cost.

Jamal et al. (2004) proposed a model which managed the ideal social event aggregate in a particular stage framework in which change is finished by addressing two undeniable down to earth procedures to confine the all out structure cost right now their models don't ponder coordinated delays. Toward along these lines, this paper develops an EPQ type stock model with coordinated IOUs for finishing up the EPQ for anything which is made in a solitary stage producing framework that makes blemished quality things and these defectives things are changed in a near cycle.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

A lot of examination has been done to decide the issues of blemished quality EPQ models. Two or three researchers have examined the impact of defective quality creation on cash related creation models. Hayek and Salameh (2001) zeroed in on the impact of defective quality things on the limited creation model. Right when creation stops, flawed things are accepted to be fixed up at a consistent rate. The level of blemished quality things is viewed as an eccentric variable with a known likelihood thickness work. The ideal working arrangement that limits the all out stock expense per unit time is settled where needs are permitted and set in an IOU for. In their paper, Salameh and Jaber didn't expressive what point in the cycle would be proper for selling the defective things. Teunter and van der Laan (2002) tried to find the answer for the non-ideal condition in a stock model with remanufacting. They comparably take a gander at the good circumstances given in Chan et al. (2003), which are related with the issue of non-needs and raised that the proposed conditions, can't keep needs away from occurring. Since the piece of non-ideal things in our model in this paper should be unsurprising, there isn't this issue in our mode. Chiu (2003) considered a confined creation model with irregular faulty rate; scrap, the changing of repairable imperfect things and copying to accumulate an ideal working philosophy including part size and setting in a delay levels that limited generally stock expenses. Chan et al. (2003) gave a structure to work with lower evaluating, change and excuse conditions into a solitary EPQ model. They made that the entryway part of when to sell the damaged things is fundamental, as this choice would influence the stock expense and the get-together aggregates. They likewise expected that faulty things could be reexamined rapidly at an expense and kept in stock. Of late, Chiu et al. (2006) zeroed in on the impact of association level limitation on the ideal part size choice of an EPQ model with

change. Ojha et al. (2007) considered a gathering structure which gets rough substance from a provider, processes it, and gives it to the client sometimes. The framework considered is blemished and conveys defectives at a steady rate. Hurt ought to be revamped and the entire part quality truly look at inside the cycle. Shamsi et al. (2009) considered a creation structure in which the blemished things are improved to turn out to be either uncommon things or scraps. Defective things are consistently separated so they are not passed to stock. Chang and Chend (2010) zeroed in on the ideal stock recharging strategy as well as on the long-run creation stock expenses. Since little believed was paid to the area of flawed quality EPQ model with social event, re-try and machine breakdown occurring in storing time, Cardenas-Barron (2008) closed the ideal reaction for two stock techniques that were proposed by Jamal et al. (2004) in arithmetical strategy which contains four clear logarithmic advances furthermore proposed the degree of genuine qualities for level of defectives, the shut development for the rigid stock expense for the two blueprints and the numerical articulations to compute the expense discipline. Nahapetyan and Pardalos (2008) proposed a calculation to settle the capacitated multi-thing dynamic surveying issues. Cardenas-Barron (2009) empowers an EPQ type stock model with coordinated defer purchases for finishing up the EPQ for something solitary, which is made in a solitary stage producing framework that makes defective quality things and this tremendous number of lacking things are changed in a practically identical cycle what's more fanned out the degree of genuine possible additions of the level of broken things for which these is an ideal arrangement and the nearby construction for the complete expense of stock design. Jaggi et al. (2011) considered a stock strategy for a retailer regulating defective quality things of breaking down nature a work in progress and passable yield in segments. Results have been shown with the assistance of a mathematical model and responsiveness evaluation is besides familiar with give administrative experiences into getting ready

ASSUMPTIONS AND NOTATIONS

The following assumptions and notations are made to develop the model:

Assumptions

A single type of product in a single stage production system is considered.

1 production rate is constant and greater than demand rate

2 proportion of defective is constant and only one type of defective is produced in each cycle

3 defective items produced at the production process are reworkable and reworked items are good items

4 all demands must be satisfied

5 backlogging permitted

6 inspection cost is ignored since it is negligible with respect to other costs

7 the other assumption in classical EPQ model

NOTATIONS

R – production rate in units per unit time

G – demand rate in units per unit time

g – rate of defective items from regular production (g = Rz)

S_1 – on hand inventory level at time $(0, t_1)$

S_2 – on hand inventory level (t_1, t_2)

R* – optimal size of production run

CF_0 – setup cost

Fh – holding cost per unit/year

FR – cost of reworking per unit

FS – shortage cost per unit

A – shortages in units

Fp – production cost per unit

z – proportion of defective items from regular production (X is between 0 to 0. 1)

T – cycle time and ti – unit time periods i (i = 1, 2, 3,…).

MATHEMATICAL MODEL

An inventory model for a imperfect production system with rework and shortages

In this study, the production rate of perfect quality items must be always greater than or equal to the sum of the demand rate and the production rate of defective items. Therefore, we must have the following: (R – G – g) > 0. One can obtain the cycle time T, production uptime t1, on-hand inventory level S1 and S2, time needed to rework defective items t2, production downtime t3, shortage permitted time t4 and t5 as follows: according to definition:

GT = S, therefore, T = S/G and S = Rt1

therefore,

t1 = S/R …….(1)

S1/t1 represents qty of good items:

S 1= (R – G - g) t1 - A = (R – G - g) (S/R) - A ……(2)

To produce S1 units of items, we need t1 Time

$t1 = \frac{S1}{R-G-g} = \frac{(R-G-g)\left(\frac{S}{R}\right)-A}{R-G-g} = \frac{S}{R} - \frac{A}{R-G-g}$ …… (3)

For reworking the defective items, t2 time is needed

$t2 = \frac{MU}{R} = \frac{OJ}{R} = \frac{S}{R} - \frac{zS}{R}$ …… (3)

Qty of Items to be remained after consumption (S2)

S2 = S1 + NU = S1 + (R - G)t2

$= (R – G – g)\frac{S}{R} - A + \frac{(R-G)zS}{R}$ …….(4)

For the production of S2 qty of items, we need time t3

$t3 = \frac{S2}{G} = \frac{1}{G}(R - G)\frac{S}{R} - Sz - A + \frac{(R-G)zS}{R}$ ----------(5)

Shortage time

$t4 = \frac{B}{G}$ and $t5 = \frac{A}{R-G-g}$ ……..(6)

Inventory during cycle time of production:

T = t1 + t2 + t3 + t4 + t5

$= \frac{S}{R} - \frac{A}{R-G-g} + \frac{zS}{R} + \frac{(R-G)S}{RG} - \frac{Sz}{G} - \frac{A}{G} + \frac{(R-G)zS}{RG} + \frac{A}{G} + \frac{A}{R-G-g}$ -------- (7)

The evaluation of avg. inventory:

$I = \frac{1}{T}\frac{1}{2}S1t1 + S1t2 + \frac{1}{2}(S2 - S1) + \frac{1}{2}t3\ S2$

The avg inventory during shortage period:

$Is = \frac{1}{T}\frac{1}{2}At4 + \frac{1}{2}A\ t5 = \frac{B2(R-G)}{2T(R-G-g)} = \frac{B2\ R(1-z)}{2S(R-G-g)}$ -------- (8)

Table 1: Variation in rate of defective items with rework and shortages

z	S	T	Cost for Setup	Cost for Holding	cost of rework	shortage cost	Total cost
0.001	1051.39	0.1752	570.67	435.86	600	134.81	601,741.34
0.002	1,092.90	0.1821	548.99	412.90	1200	136.09	602,297.99
0.003	1,139.85	0.1899	526.38	389.54	1800	136.84	602,852.77
0.004	1,193.48	0.1989	502.73	365.76	2400	136.97	603,405.46
0.005	1,255.46	0.2092	477.91	341.55	3000	136.36	603,955.83
0.006	1,328.09	0.2213	451.78	316.91	3600	134.86	604,503.55
0.007	1,414.72	0.2357	424.11	291.83	4200	132.28	605,048.22
0.008	1,520.37	0.2534	394.64	266.27	4800	128.36	605,589.28
0.009	1,653.01	0.2755	362.97	240.19	5400	122.78	606,125.95
0.010	1,826.33	0.3044	328.53	213.51	6000	115.01	606,657.05

Note: Production cost: 600,000

From Table 1, it is seen that the speed of deficient things increments then ideal aggregate, process length, further creating cost, need cost and altogether cost increases in any case plan cost and holding cost decreases. Likewise, there is positive relationship between speed of lacking things with ideal aggregate, process term, evolving bed, need cost and complete

expense and there is negative relationship between speed of flawed things with plan cost and holding cost.

CONCLUSIONS

The hour of lacking things during most important creation processes is in every way that really matters, certain. These defective quality things can every so often be fixed up and fixed, in this manner the general creation expenses can be reduced on an extremely fundamental level. A large portion of the continuous insufficient quality stock models, in any case, have not managed such gigantic mentally collected conditions including both flawed creation and damaged screening process. This paper considers a creation stock model with coordinated delay purchases for something solitary. The thing is made in a solitary stage making structure. The gathering structure makes blemished quality things. This tremendous number of hurt things are changed in a practically identical cycle. This paper makes two stock models for two utilitarian game-plans. The focal procedure covers the case that the change is finished and the insufficiencies are not allowed. The subsequent procedure covers the case that the improve is finished and the needs are allowed. To accomplish this goal, a numerical model is made. Specifically, the ideal creation pack size which limits the complete not altogether settled. This model is conveyed for gathering the critical and agreeable circumstances for having a remarkable arrangement. An illustrative model is given and embraced. The underwriting of result in this model was coded in Microsoft Visual Basic 6.0.

RÉFÉRENCIAS

1. Bayati, M.F., Barzoki, M.R. and Hejazi, S.R. (2011) ‘A joint lot sizing and marketing model with reworks, scraps and imperfect products’, International Journal of Industrial Engineering Computations, Vol. 2, pp.395–408.
2. Cardenas-Barron, L.E. (2008) ‘Optimal manufacturing batch size with rework in a single stage production system – a simple derivation’, Computers & Industrial Engineering, Vol. 55, No. 4, pp.758–765.
3. Cardenas-Barron, L.E. (2009) ‘Economic production quantity with rework process at a single-stage manufacturing system with planned backorders’, Computers & Industrial Engineering, Vol. 57, No. 3, pp.1105–1113.
4. Chan, W.M., Ibrahim, R.N. and Lochert, P.B. (2003) ‘A new EPQ model: integrating lower pricing, rework and reject situations’, Production Planning and Control, Vol. 14, No. 7, pp.588–595.
5. Chang, H-H. and Chend, F.T. (2010) ‘Economic production quantity model with backordering, rework and machine failure taking place in stock piling time’, Wseas Transactions on Information Science and Applications, Vol. 7, No. 4, pp.463–473.
6. Chiu, S.W., Chiu, Y-S.P. and Lin, H-D. (2006) ‘Solving an EPQ model with rework and service level constraints’, Mathematical and Computational Applications, Vol. 11, No. 1, pp.75–84.
7. Chiu, Y.P. (2003) ‘Determining the optimal lot size for the finite production model with random defective rate, the rework process, and backlogging’, Engineering Optimization, Vol. 35, No. 4, pp.427–437.
8. Datta, T.K. (2016) ‘Inventory system with defective products and investment opportunity for reducing defective proposition’, Operational Research, 27 January, pp.1–16.
9. Hafshejani, K.F., Valmohammadi, C. and Khakpoor, A. (2012) ‘Retracted: using genetic algorithm approach to solve a multi-product EPQ model with defective items rework and constrained space’, Journal of Industrial

Engineering International, accepted, DOI:10.118612251-712x-8- 27.

10. Harris, F.W. (1913) 'How many parts to make at once', The Magazine of Management, Vol. 10, No. 2, pp.135–136.
11. Hayek, P.A. and Salameh, M.K. (2001) 'Production lot sizing with the reworking of imperfect quality items produced', Production Planning and Control, Vol. 12, No. 6, pp.584–590.
12. Hishamuddin, H., Sarker, R.A. and Essam, D. (2012) 'A distribution recovery model for a single stage production-inventory system', European Journal of Operational Research, Vol. 222, No. 1, pp.464–473.
13. Jaggi, C.K., Goel, S.K. and Mittal, M. (2013a) 'Credit financing in economic ordering policies for defective items with allowable shortages', Applied Mathematics and Computation, Vol. 210, No. 10, pp.5268–5282.
14. Jaggi, C.K., Mittal, M. and Khanna, A. (2013b) 'Effects of inspection on retailer's ordering policy for deteriorating items with time-dependent demand under inflationary conditions', International Journal of System Sciences, Vol. 44, No. 9, pp.1774–1782.